


Climate allocation methods


WQGIT 4/27/2020


Gary Shenk, Lewis Linker, Richard Tian, Gopal Bhatt, Isabella Bertani, Danny Kaufman, Cuiyin Wu












































Climate allocation options

- Open Water
 - Ignore Open Water
 - Lump OW in with other segments
 - Separate allocations and then reconcile
- WWTP responsibility
 - Only non-WWTP sources
 - Include WWTP
- Variances
 - Assume variances can change
 - Keep variances the same
 - · Meet variances and load balance


- Relative impact Conowingo
 - 1995 level as used in TMDL/2017
 - · Current status
- Relative impact segments
 - Always use TMDL/2017 segments
 - Use the group of segments being protected
- Watershed loads first
 - Take out jurisdiction loads first
 - · Do not consider jurisdiction loads


These don't matter!

Climate allocation options

- Open Water
 - Ignore Open Water
 - Lump OW in with other segment
 - Separate allocations and then reconcile
- WWTP responsibility
 - Only non-WWTP sources
 - Include WWTP
- Variances
 - * Assume variances can change
 - Keep variances the same
 - · Meet variances and load balance

- Relative impact Conowingo
 - 1995 level as used in TMDL/2017
 - · Current status
- · Relative impact segments
 - Always use TMDL/2017 segments
 - Use the group of segments being protected
- Watershed loads first.
 - Take out jurisdiction loads first
 - · Do not consider jurisdiction loads






- WWTP responsibility
 - Only non-WWTP sources
 - Include WWTP


- Year
 - 2025
 - 2035

- Watershed loads first
 - Take out jurisdiction loads first
 - Do not consider jurisdiction loads
- Open Water
 - How do deal with open water violations in the lower Bay

- WWTP responsibility
 - Only non-WWTP sources
 - Include WWTP
- Watershed loads first
 - Take out jurisdiction loads first
 - Do not consider jurisdiction loads

- Year
 - 2025
 - 2035
- Open Water
 - How do deal with open water violations in the lower Bay





- WWTP responsibility
 - Only non-WWTP sources
 - Include WWTP
- Watershed loads first
 - Take out jurisdiction loads first
 - Do not consider jurisdiction loads

- Year
 - 2025
 - 2035
- Open Water
 - How do deal with open water violations in the lower Bay

Watershed Loads First

- Ran WQSTM run to show reduced non-attainment for jurisdiction reductions equal to the climate load increase
- Allocated remaining effect

		Allocated			
	Climate	Additional	Total		
	Increase	Reduction	Reduction		
DC	0.00	0.00	0.00		
DE	0.00	0.01	0.01		
MD	0.11	0.09	0.20		
NY	0.04	+ 0.01	0.06		
PA	0.09	0.12	0.21		
VA	0.34	0.16	0.49		
WV	0.01	0.01	0.02		
Total	0.60	0.40	1.00		

2025, phosphorus, OWDWDC, non-WWTP example 27

ED_005118_00000257-00027

- WWTP responsibility
 - Only non-WWTP sources
 - Include WWTP
- Watershed loads first
 - Take out jurisdiction loads first
 - Do not consider jurisdiction loads
- Year • 2025
 - 2035
- Open Water
 - How do deal with open water violations in the lower Bay

Year Decision

- What change to account for
 - 1995-2025
 - 1995-2035
- When the reductions would need to be accomplished
 - 2025
 - 2035
 - Some other date

- WWTP responsibility
 - Only non-WWTP sources
 - Include WWTP
- Watershed loads first
 - Take out jurisdiction loads first
 - Do not consider jurisdiction loads


- Year
 - 2025
 - 2035
- Open Water
 - How do deal with open water violations in the lower Bay


Open water is important!

- The OW criteria are based on living resource needs for striped bass and other important species
- There is a huge amount of open water (2/3 of the Bay)
- It's the part of the bay that humans interact with

Open water is likely affected by climate change

- Climate change is decreasing the saturation concentration of oxygen
- Open water is more often saturated or super saturated so it will be decreased by a lowering of the saturation level
- Deep water and Deep Channel rarely approach saturation, so lowering of the saturation concentration may have less of an effect







Open Water violation rates in large CBSEGs

- Most areas do not reach violation, even by 2055
- CB6 and CB7 are much more effected

	Planning						Planning				
Cbseg	Target	2025	2035	2045	2055	Cbseg	Target	2025	2035	2045	2055
CB1TF	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	PAXMH	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.03%
CB2OH	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	9.00%	POTMH_MD	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
CB3MH	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	RPPMH	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
СВ4МН	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	YRKPH	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0,00%	0.00%
CBSMH_MD	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	MOBPH	0.00%	0.00%	0.01%	0.11%	0.16%
CB5MH_VA	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	JMSPH	0.60%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
СВ6РН	0.03%	0.39%	0.71%	0.99%	1.29%						
С87РН	0.32%	1.41%	2.11%	3.02%	4.19%	CHSMH	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
СВВРН	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	Easmh	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
						CHOMH2	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0,00%	0.00%
						TANMH_MO	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
						TANMH_VA	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.03%


Why are CB6 and CB7 acting so differently?





 2003 Technical Support Document

"The delineation of the boundary was determined by examining maps of contemporary dissolved oxygen concentration distributions and the anecdotal historical dissolved oxygen concentration data record."



https://www.chesapeakebay.net/content/publications/cbp_13270.pdf

Are there violations above the pycnocline in CB6 and CB7?

- There are no violations in the surface mixed layer at 2035 at WIP implementation.
- The violation is in the deeper ocean-influence water.


Scenario	Planning Target	2025	2035	2045	2055	2035 - DW everywhere
CB1TF	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
СВ2ОН	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
СВЗМН	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
СВ4МН	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
CB5MH_MD	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
CB5MH_VA	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
СВ6РН	0.03%	0.39%	0.71%	0.99%	1.29%	0.00%
СВ7РН	0.32%	1.41%	2.11%	3.02%	4.19%	0,00%
СВ8РН	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%


ED_005118_00000257-00037


Open Water Thoughts


- Open water is an important use for living resources
- Open water may be more affected by temperature due to concentrations closer to saturation
- The Modeling Workgroup did not advocate for the WQGIT to drive allocations with CB6 and CB7 open water
 - · Relatively insensitive to load reductions
 - No other Mainstem Open Water violations through 2055
 - Other large rivers mostly have no violations through 2055
 - Strong dependence on the appropriate delineation between 'Open Water over Deep Water' and 'Open Water to the bottom'


38
































Include WWT					All Stadies	AllSonress	A015purces	All Sources				10 x x 10 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	Ai Sources
0			5704316	(MYCK)	CARACK.	CWCC	OWER	OWEN	OWEX	DWD	OWEN	0900	DWX.X
Yes							2025.00	2005.00	2005.00		2005.00	2035.00	2025.00
Loads Fire		All affects on	toods fest	mageros	All discussion		LOWIS FIRST	****************		Mishontin	Loads First		Allahoosten
Rutrie	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		37%			376	574	980	39	339			
oc .	03.60				0.15		0.31	0.06	0.90			6.00	5.82
DE	0.23						0.34	0.11	0.90		0.60	6.01	6.00
NE NY	1.16 0.24						1,96 0,70	3.52 1.33	0.08 0.01		0.11 0.03	6.23	0.11
												0.03	0.07
PA	2.30						1.68	3.47	6.30		0.09	0.29	
va.	0.96				1.50		1,48	3,15	0,14		0.34	0.73	0.18
wv	8.34				0.10		-0.03	0.00	0.91		0.00	8.65	8.03.
Total	5.03	20.49	493	10 19	\$ 40	31.35	4,91	19.29	0.36	6.73	6.60	2.40	0.39
			See note 1				See note 1				See note 1		
Include WW/T	P No. WAT	Non-WWTF	Asset WWW	Non-WWT	AllSevens	All Severes	AllSources	All Sources	Non-WWTP	Non WWTP	Non-WWTP	Non-WWCP	At Sources
9	a compania	0000000	09909900	OWOWIX	CACCACC	OWOWOK	OVOMEX	000000	0000000	20000000	2000000	OWOWX	OWNER
Yes	ar 2025.00	2035.00	2005.00	2000.00	2005.00	2006.00	2025.00	2085.00	3025.00	3035.00	2023.00	2025.00	2023,00
Loads Fin	a All Bockton	Attablecation	Loods Fron	LoadsFirst	All allocation	All allocation	LOSSIS FIRST	Lower First	All allocation	Affattocation	Loads Sira	toads Rest	Allabacation
Nutrier	e 18	176	334	158	100	394	199	199	19	19	19	1.0	
DC	0.01	0.01	0.83	0.03.	0.00	8,54	0.18	0.21	8.00		0,00	0.36	0.03
DE 3KI	0.58	0.75	0.28	6.37	Ű.Űŝ.	6.43	8.3.7	6.28	6.01	0.02	0.01	0.28	0.00
9,683	2.76	4.30	2.40	3.18	0.32	5.61	2.90	3,76	0.19	0.28	0.20	3.78	0.25
88 Y	0.57	0.85	0.98	1.47	0.10	0.71	0.93	1.41	0.03	0.04	0.06	0.48	0.93
PA	5.45	8.30	4.34	8.33	0.40	6.34	3.63	5.388	0.24	9.36	63.233	4.3.4	8.3.6
va.	2.27	3.37	2.58	4 06	0.88	5.29	3.21	4.79	0.32	6.48	6.49	5.56	6.43
WV	0.35	0.49	0.11	0.46	0.07	0.36	0.06	0.41	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.24	0.02
Total	3.1.90	32.67	10.70	15.68	1.79	15.5%	11.35	16.21	6.83	3.23	1,00	12,84	0.93
Industry (CVA)				Non-WWTP			01Sources	Of Sources		Non WATE	Non-WWTF	86.0 97979	Al Sources
O Yes				2001.00		2005.00	2025.00	2025.00	2025.00	2025.00	2025.00	2035.00	3025.00
	a All Mosaica		Loads Free		All acception		LONG FOR		Attallesarios		Loads Nog		All allocation
Nusio			17%	1%	77,	194	784	776	TP	179	177	19	***
OC.	0.02						0.73	0.72	0.00			0.00	0.09
06	1.24						0.59	0.68	0.00		0.00	0.03	6.03
MO	6.83						8.69	9.08	0.46		0.48	6.58	0.54
NY	1.41				1.19	1.45	1.66	2.08	0.07		0.30	0.14	0.08
PA	3.3. 64				165.82		16.03	11.30	6.60			0.74	0.35
vis.	5.63					16.79	8.66	9.80	6.80		0.98	1,33	0.91
ww	0.83				0.61	0.74	0.44	0.76	0.07	0.09	0.07	0.11	0.64
Total	29.34						30.81	34,26	2.04			2,93	1.99

Summary – allocation options ready

- Include CB6 and CB7 Open Water?
- Include responsibility for WWTP loads?
- Reduce watershed loads first and allocate the rest?
- 2025 or 2035?

S2